

SEP 7 3 58 PM '99

PHILIP L. STIMAC ATTORNEY AT LAW 777 FIRST STREET # 193 GILROY. CA 95020

August 26, 1999

Mr. Lawrence Noble
Office of General Counsel
Federal Elections Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, DC 20463

RE:

Federal Election Campaign Violations By Clinton - Gore '92, Clinton - Gore '96

and AL Gore ' 2000

Dear Mr. Nobel:

Please find my complaint for federal election campaign violations based on the following:

- 1) In 1992, I filed a civil rights lawsuit against United States
 Attorney General William Bar which of course had nothing
 to do with campaign violations by Bill Clinton, Al Gore, or
 Clinton Gore. (Philip L. Stimac vs. William Barr, United
 States District Court For The Northern District of California
 [San Jose] Civil Case No. C 92 -20479).
- 2) In 1993, I filed a federal lawsuit against United States Attorney General Janet Reno which included first time claims never raised in <u>Stimac vs. Barr.</u> (<u>Philip L. Stimac vs. Janet Reno</u>, United States District Court For The Northern District of California [San Francisco] Civil Case No. C 93 4140).

One issue in that litigation was whether Clinton - Gore engaged in mail fraud in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 1341 by making promises to disabled teachers which they never intended to keep. ¹

¹ Exhibit A.

When I attempted to compel Clinton - Gore to keep their campaign promise to the disabled teachers who had voted and made campaign contributions, the Clinton Administration told me to close my file.

When I filed <u>Stimac vs. Reno</u>, the Clinton Administration stole the incriminating complaint and exhibits from the courthouse, deleted their existence from the court computer and file room and cited <u>Stimac vs. Barr</u> as res judicata. ²

3) In 1996, I filed Philip L. Stimac, Donna Obata and the American Teachers Legal Defense Fund vs. Bill Clinton (United States District Court For The Southern District of California [San Diego] Civil Case No.C 96 988).

United States Attorney Alan Bersin was a defendant and the attorney of record in that case. Mr. Bersin also is a life - time friend and classmate of Bill and Hillary Cliniton and served as campaign manager for Clinton - Gore in 1992.

Under Mr. Bersin, the U. S. Justice Department again covered up for Clinton - Gore and crushed the American Teachers Legal Defense Fund by arguing res judicata in <u>Stimac vs. Barr</u> to campaign finance violations including:

- 1) using the web page of the American Federation of Teachers to endorse Clinton Gore in violation of 2 USCS 441 (b). 3
- 2) laundering teachers union dues to Clinton Gore over the objection of the plaintiffs in the class action lawsuit filed by their legal defense fund.
- 3) preventing the teachers from exercising protected First Amendment rights at the federal courthouse a few blocks from the 1996 Republican National Convention.

Mr. Bersin filed a brief with the federal court stating that the issues were too insignificant to even have to brief.

Mr. Stuart Weinberg was also a defendant and attorney of record for the American Federation of Teachers. I was also

² Exhibit B.

³ Exhibit C.

involved in litigation with Mr. Weinberg's client International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Warehousemen and Helpers under Section 411 and 501 of Title 29 of the United States Code. In that litigation, Mr. Weinberg's firm had created a supplemental dues scheme. My client was the Teamster's Drivers and Construction Legal Defense Fund.

As with the American Teachers Legal Defense Fund, members of the Teamster's Drivers and Construction Legal Defense Fund alleged that union dues were laundered to Clinton - Gore in violation of Title 2.

In an attempt to cover up campaign finance violations, Mr. Weinberg filed a malicious complaint against me with the State Bar of California.

I have written to the FBI, Justice Department and Demetrios Boutris But they refuse to meet with me or investigate. Mr. Bourtis is the Legal Affairs Secretary to California Governor Gray Davis who is the chief executive officer of the California State Bar.

Mr. Boutris was also a vice - president and legal counsel to a holding company which includes Revlon and was very active in raising funds for Clinton - Gore.

Does FEC have a field office or representative in California whom I can meet with to discuss this in more detail and also share additional documents and information?

Thank you, Mr. Nobel.

Very truly yours,

PHILIP L. STIMAC



August 30, 1992

Philip L. Stimac, Esq. 7456-B Church Street Gilroy, CA 95020

Dear Mr. Stimac:

Thank you so much for writing to me and for sending me a copy of your letter to the Assistant Attorney General.

Because of the increasing demands on my schedule, I have asked my staff to review this material. In the meanwhile, I am enclosing for your review a paper which outlines my views on the rights and needs of disabled Americans.

These and other concerns are all part of the New Covenant I propose, and my vision for America's future. Thanks again for taking the time to write to me.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton

Enclosure

27

28

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FEB 22 1994

FICHARO W. WIEKING CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT WIRTIERN DISTRICT OF CALEDREIA

PHILIP STIMAC.

v.

Plaintiff.

No. C 93-4140 CAL

ORDER

JANET RENO, Attorney General, United States of America, et al.,

Defendant(s).

in I..

Defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff Philip L. Stimac's complaint was set for hearing on February 25, 1994. However, on February 15, 1994, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint.

Therefore, defendants' motion is taken off calendar, and defendants are hereby given twenty (20) days to move or respond to plaintiff's first amended complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 2/22/94

CHARLES A. LEGGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

OFFICE OF THE CLERK United States District Court NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK

450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 (415) 556-3031

November 17, 1994

Philip L. Stimac Attorney at Law 665 Munras Avenue, Suite 226 Monterey, CA 93940

Philip L. Stimac vs Janet Reno, etal

Case No. C 93-4140 CAL

Dear Mr. Stimac:

I am in receipt of your November 12, 1994 letter inquiring about your First Amended Writ of Mandamus and Complaint. A search of our docket and court file show that we are not in receipt of the documents in question.

In order for the Clerk's Office to "correct" the docket sheet please provide us with a file endorsed copy of the documents in question. Failing this, the record will have to stand as presently reported.

If I can be of further assistance or if you have any additional questions you may reach me at (415) 556-3312 or at the above address. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Rufi/no C. Santos

Deputy Clerk to the

Honorable Charles A. Legge

Welcome to the American Federation of Teachers Web Site. We welcome your comments and questions as we work to provide you with the latest union information, new benefits offerings, and much more!



Be sure to visit our updated

web sites page!

♦Read President Shanker's statement on the Greene/Peterson study of the Milwaukee school voucher program inside ————————————————————————————————————				
♦ issues	- President Shanker's weekly commentary on education and important union related			
♦Read	- the weekly online newsletter f	or AFT member	s and leaders	S .
◆Get all the info fro	om the recently concluded			
♦Find out how you	can contribute to the AFT			
♦Find out more abo Sign up today!	out the grass roots initiative calle	d ·	· • • •	o-sponsored by the AFT.
.♦You can SEARC	H the FULL TEXT of our web	site. t	to begin you	r search.
♦Find out about the	•		•	300 m <u>ft</u> un
•Visit our comprehensive area devoted to , created as a resource for the National Education Summit.				
◆Learn more about	the American Federation of Tea	chers in		
♦Find out about				